



# भारतीय राजमार्ग अभियन्ता अकादमी

(सड़क परिवहन एवं राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)

## Indian Academy of Highway Engineers

(Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt. of India)

A-5, Sector-62, Institutional Area, NH-24 Bypass, Noida-201301, UP, India

Tel 0120-2400085-86, 2405006-9, Fax 2400087

Email: [iahe.training@gmail.com](mailto:iahe.training@gmail.com), Website: [iahe.org.in](http://iahe.org.in)

All communications to this office should be addressed to the Director by designation and not by name

IAHE/Procurement/04/DPR/2015-16 /2

22.02.2016

To

All Interested Consultants

**Subject :** Consultancy Services for Project Management Phase I including preparation of Detailed Project Report of selected stretches/corridors of National Highways / State Roads (approved "In Principle" for declaration as National Highways ) in the States of Uttar Pradesh, Haryana and Uttarakhand for up gradation to Two/Four lane with paved shoulder configuration (Lot-IV)-  
**Clarifications to Pre Bid Querries**

Sir,

Please refer to the Pre Bid Meeting held at IAHE campus on 17.02.2016 and the discussion thereof. "Clarifications to Pre Bid Querries" for the above mentioned work is hereby enclosed for reference and necessary action at your end.

2. This issues with the approval of Director,IAHE

Yours faithfully,

(M Riten Kumar Singh)

Joint Director

**Clarifications to Pre Bid Queries**

**Consultancy Services for Project Management Phase I including preparation of Detailed Project Report of selected stretches/corridors of National Highways / State Roads (approved "In Principle" for declaration as National Highways ) in the States of Uttar Pradesh, Haryana and Uttarakhand for up gradation to Two/Four lane with paved shoulder configuration (Lot-IV)**

Sl. No.	Page No.& Clause No. in RFP	Description of Query & Desired modification	Clarifications to Queries
1.	Letter of Invitation, Clause 3.2.4 (i)	<p>Clause specifies that "Experience certificates shall also be submitted" We request the Client to kindly relax this requirements as most of the Engineers involved in design with any company are working simultaneously on a number of projects and it is practically not possible to organize all the experience certificates for all their experience</p>	As per RFP
2.	Letter of Invitation, Clause 3.2.4 (ix)	<p>Clause specifies that 'Experience' of the firm/consortium as mentioned in the 'Proof of Eligibility' is met by any foreign company, their real involvement for the intended project shall be mandatory. This can be achieved either by including certain man-months input of key experts belonging to the parent foreign company, or by submitting at least the draft feasibility report and draft DPR duly reviewed by the parent firm and their paying visit to the site and interacting with IAHE. We understand that a wholly owned subsidiary company can submit the proposal using the credentials of the parent company by confirming sharing of risk and profits of the Indian Company by the Parent Company; and that the Parent company has sufficient representation in the management of India Company to control the activities of the wholly owned subsidiary company. Kindly confirm.</p>	A wholly owned Subsidiary Company registered/incorporated in India, for the purpose of meeting the eligibility criteria may utilise the financial and technical credentials of their Parent / holding company. This will be subject to submission of an undertaking by the parent company that they will be providing the financial and technical back-up for the completion of this consultancy assignment and also will be wholly responsible for the services proposed to be rendered. However Technical and Financial Credentials of a sister concern having the same Parent /Holding Company as that of the firm cannot be utilized by the Firm
3.	Letter of Invitation, Clause 7	<p>Clause specifies a Performance Security for an amount equivalent to 10% of the total contract value of the Contract and the same shall be valid for a period of three years beyond the date of completion of services. We request the Client to kindly modify the Clause as Performance Security for an amount equivalent to 5% of the total contract value of the Contract and the same shall be valid for a period of one year beyond the date of completion of services.</p>	As per RFP

*R. Singh*

4.	Draft contract for Consultants Services Clause 7.2	An amount equivalent to 5% of the contract value shall be retained at the end of the contract for accuracy of design and quantities submitted and the same will be released after the completion of civil contract works or after 3 years from completion of consultancy services, whichever is earlier. The retention money will however be released by the Client on substitution by Bank Guarantee of the same amount valid up to the period as above. Since the Performance Security is already withheld with the Client after the completion of services, we request the Client to kindly wave off the requirement of retention money for an amount equivalent to 5% of the contract value.	As per RFP
5.	TOR Clause 4.1 viii & 4.11.2.2	Detailed topographic surveys using mobile LIDAR Technology equipped with minimum Engineering Grade System or any other equivalent Technology having output accuracy more than (a) Specified in IRC SP 19 (b) Total Station (c) GPS/DGPS & The detailed field surveys shall be carried out using high precision instruments i.e. Mobile LIDAR Technology with Engineering Grade or any other equivalent Technology. LIDAR Technology is used to carry out faster topographic surveys, however, to ascertain the accuracy in the elevations of ground points, Levelling with Auto Level machines would be required to augment the LIDAR surveys. This would result in similar time requirements of Topo Surveys using conventional Total Station Survey vis-à-vis LIDAR Survey. Also, the activity of setting up TBM & GPS Pillars at site would be required even if LIDAR survey is proposed. In view of the above, the option to carry out topographic survey using mainly Total Station & Auto Level is requested to be considered.	Length for topographic survey using LIDAR Technology shall be 100% of the length of a package and the length for Total Station shall be 20 % of the length of a package.
6.	Special Conditions of Contract; Clause 3.5 (c) (i)	Clause specifies that the Consultant shall provide to IAHE Professional Liability Insurance (PLI) for a period of Five years beyond completion of Consultancy services. We requested the Client to kindly amend the Clause as "the Consultant shall provide to IAHE Professional Liability Insurance (PLI) for a period of two year beyond completion of Consultancy services"	As per RFP
7.	Special Conditions of Contract; Clause 6.3 (e)	The Interest rate is: @ 12% per annum. We request the Client to kindly provide an interest free advance payment to the consultants in order to maintain a positive cash flow on the project.	As per RFP
8.	Enclosure I - Manning Schedule, Note 3	The Consultant shall appoint a Project Coordinator for effective Coordination of its services for the entire duration of the Project Assignment including preconstruction activities until completion of the entire assignment of PMC Phase-I Consultants intend to understand the extent of services that is expected to be carried out by the Project Coordinator in terms of: 1. Design Support during Pre-Construction Stage, and, 2. Design Support during Construction Stage. Is it expected to carry out design changes if any, during above stage by the Design Consultant OR such design changes shall be carried out by PMC Consultant only and the Project Coordinator would only coordinate design issues with PMC. If Design Services are required during the above stages by the Design Consultant, separate additional costs towards above services needs to be considered in the bid.	As per RFP

*Ravi*

9.	Detailed Evaluation – Criteria, Appendix – V, Clause 1.1	Clause specifies that the firm should have experience of preparation of DPR/Feasibility of 4/6 lane of aggregate length as given below. The firm should have also prepared DPR/Feasibility of at least one project of 4/6 laning of minimum length as indicated below in the last 7 years. We requested the Client that the Clause should be amended as “the firm should have experience of preparation of DPR/Feasibility of <u>2/4/6 lane</u> of aggregate length as given below. The firm should have also prepared DPR/Feasibility of at least one project of <u>2/4/6 laning</u> of minimum length as indicated below in the last 7 years”, since as per Table 1 of Date Sheet, it has been specified that a Firm applying for a package should have experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report of two/four/six lane/Feasibility of <u>Two/four/six lane projects</u> of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package.	Please refer Amendment to RFP
10.	Detailed Evaluation – Criteria, Appendix – V, Clause 1.2	Clause specifies that the firm should have experience of preparation of DPR/Feasibility of 4/6 lane of aggregate length as given below. The firm should have also prepared DPR/Feasibility of at least one project of 4/6 laning of minimum length as indicated below in the last 7 years. We request the Client that the Clause should be amended as “the firm should have experience of preparation of DPR/Feasibility of <u>2/4/6 lane</u> of aggregate length as given below. The firm should have also prepared DPR/Feasibility of at least one project of <u>2/4/6 laning</u> of minimum length as indicated below in the last 7 years”, since as per Table 1 of Date Sheet, it has been specified that a Firm applying for a package should have experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report of two/four/six lane/Feasibility of <u>Two/four/six lane projects</u> of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package.	Please refer Amendment to RFP
11.	Financial Proposal, Form III, Item IX Survey and Investigation A. Topographic Survey No. 1 & No. 2	Topographic Survey including hire charges for equipment (GPS, Total Station, Auto Level etc.), and supply of survey Teams comprising of project survey filed staff etc. inclusive of cost of materials, labourer and construction of BM, (satellite imaginary, aerial photogrammetry if considered necessary) etc. complete  Detailed topographic surveys using Mobile LIDAR Technology equipped with minimum engineering grade system of any other equivalent technology having output accuracy more than (i) specified in IRC:SP:19; (ii) Total Stations and (iii) GPS/DGPs.  Generally rate of Topographic Survey is considered once (either carried out by LIDAR OR by Total Station Instrument), and not twice as per the format mentioned in RFP. Consultant requests to understand which one of the survey methodology (LIDAR vis-à-vis Total Station) holds applicable for the project, OR, the rates of Topographic Surveys using Total Station and LIDAR surveys need to separately mentioned, and Total for these surveys needs to be considered in the Financial Proposal (Form II)	Rates for topographic survey using LIDAR technology and Total Station are to be separately quoted as given in the format for Financial Proposal (as per revised format for Financial Proposal given in the e-procurement website). Length for topographic survey using LIDAR Technology shall be 100% of the length of a package and the length for Total Station shall be 20 % of the length of a package.
12.	Enclosure – I,	For Category – I Packages, it specifies that for the position of Traffic and Safety Expert, the Candidate	Please refer Amendment to



	Manning Schedule, Terms of Reference	should be at site for 1.5 mm and at design office for 2 mm with a total input of 2.5 mm. There seems to be a typographical error in the representation of the man-months for the Traffic and Safety Expert. We request the Client to kindly rectify the same.	RFP
13.	Terms of Reference	Scope of Services included Social Analysis, social baseline for the project. Since the scope of services includes an extensive social analysis of the project, we feel that inputs of a Social Expert must be added in the list of key personnel to be proposed for the project in order to bring all the bidders on a common platform.	List of Professional Staff / Key Personnel arte as given in the RFP. Input of any other expert /personnel whose input shall be required can be included in the Sub Professional Staff. As per RFP
14	Enclosure-II; Qualification Experience Requirement of Key Personnel and	<b>Team Leader cum Senior Highway Engineer</b> In Feasibility of Four/Six Laning work and DPR/JC/Construction Supervision of Four/six laning of major highway project (NH/SH/Expressways)/feasibility cum detailed project report of four laning projects of minimum aggregate length of 80 km. for hill road, respective hill roads experience is required We request Client to kindly amend the criteria as: In Feasibility of Four/Six Laning work and DPR/JC/Construction Supervision of Four/six laning of major highway project (NH/SH/Expressways)/feasibility cum detailed project report of four laning projects of minimum aggregate length of <b>50 km.</b> for hill road, respective hill roads experience is required.	As per RFP
15	Enclosure-II; Qualification Experience Requirement of Key Personnel and	<b>Highway cum Pavement Engineer</b> Pavement design for major highway projects (4/6 lane NH/SH/ Expressways) of minimum aggregate length of 80 km. We requested Client to kindly amend the criteria as: Pavement design for major highway projects (4/6 lane NH/SH/ Expressways) of minimum aggregate length of <b>50 km.</b>	As per RFP
16	Enclosure-II; Qualification Experience Requirement of Key Personnel and	<b>Traffic and Safety Expert</b> Traffic Engineer in highway Project (NH/SH/Expressways) involving 4/6 laning of minimum aggregate length of 80 km. We requested Client to kindly amend the criteria as: Traffic Engineer in highway Project (NH/SH/Expressways) involving 4/6 laning of minimum aggregate length of <b>50 km.</b>	As per RFP
17	Enclosure-II; Qualification Experience Requirement of Key Personnel and	<b>Material Engineer – cum- Geotechnical Engineer cum Geologist</b> Material cum Geo-technical Engineer on highway projects (4/6 lane NH/SH/Expressways) of minimum aggregate length of 80 km. We requested Client to kindly amend the criteria as: Material cum Geo-technical Engineer on highway projects (4/6 lane NH/SH/Expressways) of minimum aggregate length of <b>50 km.</b>	As per RFP
18	Enclosure-II;	<b>Senior Survey Engineer</b>	As per RFP

*R. V. S.*

	Qualification and Experience Requirement of Key Personnel	Survey Engineer for projects preparation of highway project (NH/SH/Expressways) involving 4/6-laning of minimum aggregate length of 80 km. We requested Client to kindly amend the criteria as: Survey Engineer for projects preparation of highway project (NH/SH/Expressways) involving 4/6-laning of minimum aggregate length of 50 km.	As per RFP
19	Enclosure-II; Qualification and Experience Requirement of Key Personnel	<b>Quantity Surveyor/Documentation Expert</b> Quantity Surveyor/Documentation Expert in highway projects (NH/SH/Expressways involving four/six laning of minimum aggregate length of 80 km We requested Client to kindly amend the criteria as: Quantity Surveyor/Documentation Expert in highway projects (NH/SH/Expressways involving four/six laning of minimum aggregate length of 50 km	A firm submitting bids for any number of packages with one team shall have to submit only one Bid Security and only one Demand draft of Rs 5000/- (Cost of Document) . However if a firm submits proposal with 2 teams, 2 nos of Bid Security and 2 nos of DD (as cost of document) shall have to be submitted
20.	Letter of Invitation, Clause 4.2.1	Clause specifies 1 Bid Security and 1 Cost of Document may be submitted by a Consultant applying with a particular team for a number a packages. We request the client to kindly confirm if we can put all the tender numbers in Form E4 of Appendix II in order to submit more than one package with a particular team.	<b>Deadline for submission of bids has been extended to 09.03.2016 (1500 hrs) . Refer Amendment to RFP</b>
21.	Letter of Invitation, Clause 4.2.1	Clause specifies 1 Cost of Document may be submitted by a Consultant applying with a particular team for a number of packages. E-Proc portal requires the consultants to submit the details of the Demand Draft separately, we request the Client to kindly confirm if we need to submit the separate Demand Draft for each packages or the same Demand Draft will be valid for all the packages in case the Consultant is submitting the proposal for more than one package with a particular team.	Post graduate degree in Transportation Planning shall be considered as a desirable Educational Qualification . Please refer to Amendment to RFP
22	Date of submission	In view of the above, we request client, to prepare a comprehensive proposal, kindly extend the submission date by two weeks from the last date of submission	
23	According to Enclosure-II on page 93 of the RFP	It is desirable for a <b>Highway cum- Pavement Engineer</b> to have <b>“Masters in Highway Engineering / Transportation Engineering”</b> . However, the Consultants would like to submit that the expert having post graduate degree in <b>Transportation Planning</b> are equally capable of carrying out similar assignments. Therefore, the Consultants request the Client that the qualification criteria may please be amended as: “Masters in Highway Engineering / Transportation Engineering / <b>Transportation Planning</b> ” . This may please be considered and confirmed.	
24	According to Enclosure-II on page	The essential qualification for a <b>Quantity Surveyor/Documentation Expert</b> is <b>“Graduate of equivalent in Civil Engineering /Certificate course from ‘Institution of Quantity</b>	As per RFP

*RW*

	99 of the RFP	<p><b>Surveying'</b>". However, the Consultants would like to submit that the experts having 3-year <b>Diploma in Civil Engineering</b> are equally capable for carrying out similar assignments. Therefore, the Consultants request the Client that the qualification criteria may please be amended as:</p> <p><b>"Essential qualification-Diploma in civil engineering; &amp; Desirable qualification – Graduate or equivalent in Civil Engineering /Certificate course from Institution of Quantity Surveying".</b> This may please be considered and confirmed.</p>	<p>Team Leader, Highway cum Pavement Engineer, Bridge Engineer, Geo Technical cum Material Engineer and Senior Survey Engineer who are currently engaged in present DPR works of IAHE cannot be proposed for the instant package.</p>
25	Proposal experts	<p>Key</p> <p>One of our team is working on DPR of Package No. NH/IAHE/13 under IAHE Lot -1 project since 14/5/2015 with duration of assignment as 11 months. The project is in an advance stage and <b>submission of draft feasibility has already been done</b> and a time period of 9 months has already been completed. Therefore, we request you to kindly allow us to use all the key experts except the Team Leader of earlier project, in the upcoming projects.</p>	<p>Firm's Turnover for the last 3 years shall be considered. Please refer amendment to RFP</p>
26	According to Clause 3.1.1 (II) on page 8	<p><b>"Firm's turnover for the last 5 years"</b> is required <b>However, according to form E-3 on page 105, "firm turnover for the last 3 years i.e. 2014-15, 2013-14 &amp; 2012-13</b> are only required. We request you to kindly confirm whether you require firm's turnover details for last 5 years or last 3 years.</p>	<p>Discrepancies have been rectified. Please refer amendment to RFP</p>
27	According to Clause 12.2.1 (i)a(i) of data on page 30	<p><b>"Aggregate Length of DPR / Feasibility study of 2/4/6 lane projects</b> will be considered for evaluation of the firm's experience". However, according to Clause 1.1, 1.2 &amp; 2.1 of Appendix-V: Detailed Evaluation Criteria page 130 to 133, "DPR/Feasibility Study experience of only <b>4/6 lane projects</b> will be considered. "We request you to kindly correct the detailed evaluation criteria and consider <b>2- lane projects</b> also during evaluation of (i) proof of eligibility; and (II) technical proposal.</p>	<p>As per RFP</p>
28	As per Clause no. 10.2.2 (Page-70)	<p>Submission of Inception Report – The requirements include identification of construction of bypasses on the basis of data derived from reconnaissance and traffic studies. We note that traffic study is to be complete in Stage-II under the Clause 10.3 under the Head "Feasibility Report" Hence. It is suggested that the requirement of identification of bypasses at the inception Report Stage be deleted.</p>	<p>As per RFP</p>
29	As per Clause no. 10.3.2 (Page -71)	<p>The Technical Specification, Rate Analysis, Cost Estimates, BOQ and the civil work contract agreement needs to be submitted along with the feasibility Report. It is brought out here that</p>	<p>As per RFP</p>

*Ravi*

		these documents submission should be part of the Final Feasibility Report after crystallization of various elements of the highway such as type of pavement, structure etc. It would be too unrealistic to have the above documents at the Draft Feasibility Report Stage. Hence, it is requested to shift the submission of the above documents to the Final Feasibility Report stage.	As per RFP
30	Clause No. 10.4 (Page-78)	Requires that area including ownership of the land to be required for implementation of the project, Utility Relocation Plan and their estimates, detailed schedules of acquisition of land and proposals in consultation with Revenue Authorities and land acquisition is also to be submitted in the Draft Feasibility Report-All these are expected to be done 45 days of commencement of the works. It is highlighted that the land acquisition process can be started only when the alignment is finalized in consultation with the Client and there after interaction with the Revenue Authorities and subsequent transfer of the alignment on to the land maps etc. Hence, it is suggested that this submission be shifted to along with the draft DPR.	Land Acquisition expert can be included as a sub professional.
31		We would like to bring to your kind notice that the services to be provide under the Land Acquisition are very strenuous in nature and for a long period of time. Hence it is requested to provide for one candidate for the Acquisition purpose only for a period at least 10 months.	As per RFP
32	As per Page No. 06 of RFP – Pra 1.1	The applicant shall furnish as part of its Proposal, a Bid Security of Rs. 2,00,000 (Rupees Two Lakhs only) in the form of a Bank Guarantee issued by one of the Nationalized/Scheduled Banks in India in favor of the Director, Indian Academy of Highway Engineers' payable at Noida. (the "Bid Security")	
33.	As per Page No. 18 of RFP – Para 7.0	We request you to please reduce the amount of Bid Security and make it to Rs. 1,00,000 as in such type of tenders Ministry generally asks for Bid Security of same amount An amount equivalent to 10% of the total contract value shall be deposited in the form of BG towards Performance Security valid for a period of three years beyond the date of completion of services. We request you to reduce the validity period of 10% of total contract value as Performance Security from 3 Years to 01 year	As per RFP
34	As per Page No. 28 of RFP Para 10.0	It is mentioned as: The last date of submission of Proposal is <b>02.03.16 (15:00 Hrs)</b> As registering on <b>INFRACON</b> involves substantial efforts and requires time and we are already in process. So it is requested to extend the date of submission for at least two weeks.	<b>Deadline for submission of bids has been extended to 09.03.2016 (1500 hrs) . Refer Amendment to RFP</b>
35	As Per Page No. 31 of RFP Para 12.2.1	It is mentioned as: (b) DPR of Bridge having length more than 200 m	As per RFP

*R. K. Singh*



	<p>One bridge 1 Two bridge 2 Three bridge 3 Four bridge 4 We request you to modify it and consider the experience of Feasibility Study &amp; Preliminary Project Report also for the bridges having length of 200 m</p>	As per RFP
36	<p>As per Page No. 92 of RFP- Enclosure-I</p> <p>It is mentioned as: For <b>Category-I Packages</b> – Total Assignment Period – <b>Ten Months</b> For <b>Category-II Packages</b> – Total Assignment Period – <b>Eleven Months</b></p> <p>We request you to clarify as the given assignment period for both categories not matches with the man month schedule for each position mentioned in the manning schedule table on the same page.</p>	Discrepancies have been rectified. Please refer amendment to RFP
37	<p><b>Minimum Eligibility Requirements</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Firm applying for a package should have experience of preparation of Detailed Project Report of 2/4/6 lane/ Feasibility of 2/4/6 lane projects of aggregate length equal to the indicative length of the package. Firm should have also prepared DPR for at least one project of 2/4/6 laning of minimum 40% of the indicative length of the package or Feasibility Study of 2/4/6 laning of minimum 60% of the indicative length of the package.</li> </ul> <p><b>Detailed Evaluation Criteria</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Firm should have experience of preparation of DPR/ Feasibility of 4/6 lane of aggregate length as given below. The firm should have also prepared DPR/ Feasibility of at least one project of 4/6 laning of minimum length as indicated below in the last 7 years (i.e. from 2008-09 onwards)</li> </ul> <p>Since the minimum Eligibility requirement spell the consideration of 2/4/6 laning experience hence the same experience would be requested to consider for the Detailed evaluation criteria than its narration.</p>	
38	<p>Page 6, Clause 1.10.1</p> <p>Would request you to please consider Demand Draft also</p>	A valid Demand Draft can be submitted as Bid Security. However, on receipt of the same, it shall be encashed immediately by IAHE. The amount of bid security (without any interest) shall be

*Rivimp*

			returned to a bidder as per R.F. in the form of a A/c Payee Cheque A JV / Association can have only 2 parties. No associate is allowed in the case of a JV Self-evaluation has to be submitted for "Proof of Eligibility" and "Technical Proposal" separately Please refer Amendment to RFP Yes. As per RFP.
39	Clause No. 1.4 of Letter of Invitation (LOI) [Page No. 5]	We understand that joint Venture/Association shall not have more than two firms. In this regards, we kindly request to allow as 1 LEAD PARTNER + 1 JOINT VENTURE PARTNER + 1 ASSOCIATE. Please confirm.	
40	Clause No. 12.3 of Data Sheet [Page No. 31]	We understand that the Consultant should carryout self-evaluation based on the evaluation criteria at Appendix-V. In this regard, we understand that self-evaluation has to be submitted for "Proof of Eligibility" and "Technical Proposal" Separately. Please confirm.	
41	Appendix V- Detailed Evaluation Criteria [Page No. 130 to 135]	In all the Contents & Tables of Clauses 1.1, 1.2, the eligible requirements specified are with respect to 4/6 lane. We understand that it should be 2/4/6 lane with respect to the minimum eligibility /technical requirements as indicated in the date sheet instead of 4/6 lane. Please Clarify	
42	Clause 2.1 of Appendix V - Detailed Evaluation Criteria [Page No. 130 to 135]	We understand that SI.NO. 1 and SI.NO. 2 of the given table shall be evaluated based on the joint / combined credential of all the partners in case of JV. Please confirm	
43	Clause 2.4.3 of Appendix V - Detailed Evaluation Criteria [Page No. 137]	From SI.No II. (c), we understand that maximum of 30 points have been allotted for experience as "Senior Bridge Engineer or Similar Capacity" in Highway Design Consultancy Projects (2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways) involving design of Major Bridges. In this regards please clarify whether only design of bridges involved in 2/4/6 laning of NH/SH/Expressways of more than 200m will be considered under this Clause of Stand alone bridges / Elevated Corridors / viaduct / Flyovers / ROB's / Grade Separators will also be considered. Please Clarify	Bridges which are a part of 2/4/6 laning of Roads and Stand alone bridges / Elevated Corridors / viaduct / Flyovers / ROB's / Grade Separators will be considered
44	Clause 4, Notice Inviting Tender [Page No. 2]	We understand that "All the bidders registered on Infracon shall form a Team on Infracon and which would be assigned unique Infracon Team ID. Bidders while submitting the proposal shall quote the Infracon Team ID" The Curriculum Vitae (CV) of the key experts will be available in the INFRACON portal along with the Certificates. As per the RFP, the Consultants are required to submit CV of the Team Members as per From T-11. As already the CVs are available in infracon portal, is it required to submit CVs in From T-11. Please Clarify	Consultant shall form a team on Infracon. CVs of Key Personnel who form part of that team shall have to be submitted as part of Technical Proposal both in hard copy and soft copy.

*Rajiv*

45	General – Last Date of Submission	We kindly request you to extend the last date of submission by at least Two Weeks. Please Confirm.	Deadline for submission of bids has been extended to 09.03.2016 (1500 hrs) . Refer Amendment to RFP
46	Clause 12.2.1(c) of Data Sheet –Specific experience of firms in term of turnover at page no 31 of RFP	As per Minimum Eligibility requirement , average annual turnover in the last 3 years should not be less than Rs 5 Crores. However, at clause 12.2.1(c) of Data Sheet –Specific experience of firms in term of turnover at page no 31 of RFP, Average Turnover in the last 5 years needs to be give. Please Clarify	Discrepancy has been removed. Please refer Amendment to RFP

*Richin*